Case5:10-cv-02389-JW Document19 Filed08/10/10 Page1 of 8

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COOLEY LLP MICHAEL G. RHODES (116127) (rhodesmg MATTHEW D. BROWN (196972) (brownmod BENJAMIN H. KLEINE (257225) (bkleine@ 101 California Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-5800 Telephone: (415) 693-2000 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222 Attorneys for Defendant FACEBOOK, INC. EDELSON MCGUIRE LLP SEAN REIS (184044) (sreis@edelson.com) 30021 Tomas Street, Suite 300 Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688 Telephone: (949) 450-2124	(@cooley.com)
9 10	Facsimile: (949) 459-2123	Chicago, Illinois 60654 Telephone: (312) 589-6370 Facsimile: (312) 589-6378
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff DAVID GOULD	
12 13 14 15 16	NASSIRI & JUNG LLP KASSRA P. NASSIRI (215405) (knassiri@na CHARLES H. JUNG (217909) (cjung@nassir 47 Kearny Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 762-3100 Facsimile: (415) 534-3200	
17 18	Attorneys for Plaintiff MIKE ROBERTSON	C DICEDICE COURT
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20		RICT OF CALIFORNIA
21	SAN JOS	SE DIVISION
22	DAVID GOULD, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,	STIPULATION AND
23	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES FOR
24	V.	ALL PURPOSES, ALLOWING FOR THE FILING OF A
25	FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation,	CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT, SETTING A CASE
26	Defendant.	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE FOR OCTOBER 25, 2010, AND
27		APPOINTING LEAD COUNSEL
28		

MIKE ROBERTSON, individually and on behalf all others similarly situated,	f of Case No. 10-cv-02408-JW (PVT)
Plaintiff, v.	
FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	
Defendant.	

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER} \\ \textbf{10-CV-02389-JW; 10-CV-02408-JW} \end{array}$

Case5:10-cv-02389-JW Document19 Filed08/10/10 Page3 of 8

1	This Stipulation is entered into by and among plaintiffs David Gould and Mike Robertson
2	(collectively, "Plaintiffs") and defendant Facebook, Inc. ("Defendant") by and through their
3	respective counsel.
4	WHEREAS the complaint in Gould v. Facebook, Inc., Case No. 10-cv-02389-JW
5	("Gould") was filed on May 28, 2010;
6	WHEREAS the complaint in Robertson v. Facebook, Inc., Case No. 10-cv-02408-JW
7	("Robertson") was filed on June 1, 2010;
8	WHEREAS the Court ordered the cases related under Civil Local Rule 3-12 on July 26,
9	2010 (Gould Docket No. 17; Robertson Docket No. 7); ¹
10	WHEREAS the Court set a Case Management Conference for the related actions for
11	September 13, 2010 (Gould Docket No. 17; Robertson Docket No. 7);
12	WHEREAS, counsel for the Parties have conferred, and the Parties are in agreement that
13	the Gould action should be consolidated with the Robertson action for all purposes because the
14	cases involve similar complaints and common questions of law and fact and because
15	consolidation would advance the interests of judicial and party economy;
16	WHEREAS, the Parties are in agreement that a Consolidated Complaint should be filed;
17	WHEREAS, the Parties had previously stipulated pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a) to extend
18	the deadlines for Defendant's response to the Complaint in Gould and the Complaint in
19	Robertson to August 13, 2010, but now have agreed on a schedule for the filing of a Consolidated
20	Complaint and response thereto;
21	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs, through their counsel of record, have agreed to a leadership
22	structure appointing Michael Aschenbrener of Edelson McGuire LLC and Kassra Nassiri of
23	Nassiri & Jung LLP as Co-Lead Counsel;
24	
25	
26	¹ Another case concerning the same underlying subject matter was filed in the District of Rhode Island, <i>Marfeo v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , Case No. 10-cv-2062-S-LDA, June 17, 2010. Counsel for
27	Gould has attempted to contact counsel for Marfeo multiple times by telephone and email, but the Marfeo counsel has not returned any messages. Additionally, Marfeo has not served Facebook in
28	the <i>Marfeo</i> action.

Case5:10-cv-02389-JW Document19 Filed08/10/10 Page4 of 8

1	WHEREAS, good cause exists to appoint Co-Lead Counsel and such structure would
2	promote the efficient drafting and filing of a Consolidated Complaint, as well as the ability of the
3	Parties to efficiently dispense with a multitude of case management issues for the proposed
4	consolidated action; AND,
5	WHEREAS, Defendant does not oppose Plaintiffs' proposed leadership structure;
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned
7	counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendant, as follows:
8	1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a), the following actions are hereby
9	consolidated for all purposes into one action:
10	a. Gould v. Facebook, Inc., Case No. 10-cv-02389-JW; and
11	b. Robertson v. Facebook, Inc., Case No. 10-cv-02408-JW.
12	2. These actions shall be referred to herein as the "Consolidated Actions." The
13	Master Docket and Master File for the Consolidated Actions shall be that for Gould v. Facebook,
14	<i>Inc.</i> , Case No. 10-cv-02389-JW.
15	3. Every pleading in the Consolidated Actions shall bear the following caption:
16	DAVID GOULD, individually and on behalf) Case No. 10-cv-02389-JW
17	of all others similarly situated,)
18	Plaintiff,)
19	v.)
20	FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation,)
21	and DOES 1-50, inclusive,
22	
23	All orders, pleadings, motions and other documents shall, when filed and docketed in the Master
24	File, be deemed filed and docketed in each individual case to the extent applicable.
25	4. All subsequently filed class or individual actions against the Defendant alleging
26	the same or similar claims as alleged in the complaints in Consolidated Actions shall be
	consolidated under the case Could v. Eachbook, Inc., Case No. 10 ev. 02380 IW

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER} \\ \textbf{10-cv-02389-JW; 10-cv-02408-JW} \end{array}$

1	5. The Plaintiffs shall file a Consolidated Complaint on or before August 27, 2010.	
2	Defendant shall file a response (answer, motion to dismiss, or other response) to the Consolidated	
3	Complaint on or before September 27, 2010. In light of the consolidation and filing of a	
4	Consolidated Complaint, Defendant has no obligation to respond to the Complaint in Gould or	
5	the Complaint in <i>Robertson</i> that are currently on file. ²	
6	6. The parties shall appear for a Case Management Conference in the Consolidated	
7	Actions on Monday, October 25, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. On or before October 15, 2010, the parties	
8	shall file a Joint Case Management Statement pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and Civil L.R. 16-9.	
9	The Case Management Conference currently scheduled for September 13, 2010 and the	
10	corresponding deadline of September 3, 2010 for the parties to file their Joint Case Management	
11	Statement (see <i>Gould</i> Docket No. 17; <i>Robertson</i> Docket No. 7) are hereby vacated.	
12	7. The Court's previous Orders Setting Initial Case Management Conference and	
13	ADR Deadlines in each case (see <i>Gould</i> Docket No. 3; <i>Robertson</i> Docket No. 4) are otherwise	
14	modified as follows:	
15	a. October 4, 2010 will be the last day to meet and confer re: initial	
16	disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan	
17	(Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 26(f) & ADR L.R. 3-5).	
18	b. October 15, 2010 will be the last day to:	
19	i. file ADR certification signed by Parties and Counsel (Civil L.R. 16-	
20	8(b) & ADR L.R. 3-5(b));	
21	ii. file either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR	
22	Phone Conference (Civil L.R. 16-8(c) & ADR L.R. 3-5(b) & (c));	
23	and	
24	iii. file the Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures or state	
25	objection in Rule 26(f) Report and file Case Management Statement	
26		
27	² Under Civil L.R. 6-1(a), this constitutes the stipulation of the Parties concerning the deadline	
28	(previously extended by stipulation to August 13, 2010) for Defendant's responses to the separate Complaints that are currently on file.	

1	mon the Count's Standing Orden no Contents of Joint Cose
1	per the Court's Standing Order re Contents of Joint Case
2	Management Statement (Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 26(a)(1) & Civil L.R. 16-
3	9).
4	8. Defendant does not oppose the appointment of the following counsel as Plaintiffs'
5	Co-Lead Counsel, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(3):
6	a. Michael Aschenbrener of Edelson McGuire LLC; and
7	b. Kassra Nassiri of Nassiri & Jung LLP.
8	9. Co-Lead Counsel shall have day-to-day responsibility for the conduct of the
9	Consolidated Actions; shall determine how to prosecute the action and shall initiate, coordinate
10	and supervise the efforts of plaintiffs' counsel in the Consolidated Actions in the areas of
11	discovery, briefing, trial and settlement.
12	10. Co-Lead Counsel shall coordinate, initiate, and conduct discovery on behalf of
13	Plaintiffs consistent with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, including preparing joint
14	interrogatories and requests for production of documents and the examination of witnesses in
15	depositions; fund the necessary and appropriate costs of discovery and other common benefit
16	efforts; consult with and employ consultants or experts, as necessary; coordinate settlement
17	discussions or other ADR efforts on behalf of Plaintiffs, under the supervision of the Court, if and
18	as appropriate; and enter into stipulations with opposing counsel as necessary for the conduct of
19	the Consolidated Actions.
20	11. Co-Lead Counsel shall designate responsibilities for specific tasks to plaintiffs'
21	counsel in the Consolidated Actions in a manner to assure that pretrial preparation is conducted
22	effectively, efficiently and economically; and shall monitor the activities of plaintiffs' counsel to
23	assure that schedules are met and unnecessary expenditures of time and money are avoided. Co-
24	Lead Counsel shall maintain the official service list of all plaintiffs' counsel in the Consolidated
25	Actions, including their addresses. Co-Lead Counsel shall interface with the Court and shall
26	perform any additional functions that may be assigned to them by the Court.
27	

1	12. The organizational struct	ure of Co-Lead Counsel shall bind all plaintiffs' counsel
2	in the Consolidated Actions, including plaintiffs' counsel in any subsequently filed action that is	
3	found to be a related case pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12.	
4	This stipulation is without prejudice to any other rights that any party may have.	
5		Pagnagtfully submitted
6		Respectfully submitted,
7	Dated: August 10, 2010	NASSIRI & JUNG LLP
8		
9		By: /s/ Kassra P. Nassiri
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff Mike Robertson
11	Dated: August 10, 2010	EDELSON MCGUIRE LLC
12		
13		By: /s/
14		By: /s/ Michael J. Aschenbrener (pro hac vice)
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff David Gould
16	Dated: August 10, 2010	COOLEY LLP
17		
18		By: /s/ Matthew D. Brown
19		Attorneys for Defendant Facebook, Inc.
20		1 100 2 10 1 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0
21	[PF	ROPOSED] ORDER
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION	N OF THE PARTIES, IT IS SO ORDERED.
23		
24	DATED:, 2010	
25	, 2010	HON. JAMES WARE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
2728		
40		STIDIU ATION AND [PRODOCED] ODDER

1	FILER'S ATTESTATION	
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X, Subparagraph B, the undersigned attests	
3	that all parties have concurred in the filing of this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
4	CONSOLIDATING CASES FOR ALL PURPOSES, ALLOWING FOR THE FILING OF A	
5	CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT, SETTING A CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE FOR	
6	OCTOBER 25, 2010, AND APPOINTING LEAD COUNSEL.	
7		
8	Dated: August 10, 2010 COOLEY LLP	
9		
10	Rv· /s/	
11	By: /s/ Matthew D. Brown	
12	874840 v2/HN	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPLILATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	